

Unique dry bead mill from the specialist, in fine-particle technologies, **Ashizawa**







Particle technology for co-creation of new possibilities



Ashizawa Finetech Ltd.

Continuous dry bead mill **DRYSTAR® SDA**

Grinding of high-hardness materials down to single-micron level!

Superb energy efficiency and support for mass production



- Dry and continuous-operation horizontal mill
- Grinding of several hundred-micron materials down to single-micron with single pass
- Minimizing of energy costs (one-tenth of jet mill *according to in-house research)
- Minimal air consumption due to only using air for shaft seal protecting
- Effective surface modification processing



Grinding data from **DRYSTAR**®

Processing example 1 Material: silica (Mohs hardness of 7)



	(Unit: µm)				
	After single pass of processing	Before grinding			
dMAX	15	520			
d50	2.5	140			









Proven materials used with **DRYSTAR**®

. 100µm

Mohs hardness	Materials
7 to 9	Quartz, Silica, Soft ferrites, Hard ferrites, Alumina, Silicon nitride, Iron oxide, Tungsten oxide, Sodium silicate
4 to 6	Glass, Carbon, Black silica, Blast furnace ash, Fly ash, Incineration ash, Organic germanium, Zinc oxide, Cerium oxide, Chromium oxide
Less than 4	Gypsum, Magnesium hydroxide, Aluminum hydroxide, Barium titanate, PZT, Powdered green tea, Rice flour, Activated carbon, Calcium carbonate

Dry bead mill with built-in classifier SIGMA DRY score

Achievement of high-level particle-size control!

Support for combination of grinding, dispersing, and classifying



- Strong with grinding special pin shape
- Efficient collection of fine particles based on loosening of particles agglomerated in dispersing zone
- Elimination of coarse particles based on adoption of high-precision classifier
- Achievement of sharp particle-size distribution
- Minimization of installation space

Specifications

Grinding data from SIGMA DRY®

<Differences in particle-size distribution resulting from adjusting of SGD12.5 classifier rotation speed and blower air volume>



	Operat conditi	ting ons	Particle size		
	Classifier rotation speed (rpm)	Air flow (m ³ /min)	d50	dMAX	
Raw material	-	-	516.0	1408.0	
1	3,000	4	6.1	37.0	
2	5,000	4	3.7	19.0	
3	7,000	4	2.6	11.0	
4	7,000	2	1.5	8.0	

	Operat conditi	ing ons	Particle size		
	Classifier rotation speed (rpm)	Air flow (m ³ /min)	d50	dMAX	
Raw material	-	_	130.1	352.0	
1	3,000	4	6.1	37.0	
2	5,000	4	3.3	18.5	
3	7,000	4	2.3	11.0	
4	7,000	3	1.5	10.1	



Specifications

Madal		SIGMA	A DRY®		
Model	<i>SGD</i> 12.5	SGD 25	SGD 50	<i>SGD</i> 125	
Motor for grinding (kW)	7.5~	11~			
Motor for classifying (kW)	2.2	<u>2</u> ~	5	i.5~	
Air flow used (m ³ /min)	2~4	4~8	8~20	20~50	
Dimensions: W × D × H (mm)	800×1300×1900	1000×1600×2400	1300×2000×3000	1400×2300×3500	
Capability ratio	1	2	4	10	
Chamber material	Ceramics and metals (only metals in case of SGD125)				

Applications

Battery materials (positive and negative electrode materials), electronic part materials, ferrites, various glasses, various ceramics (alumina, silicon nitride, etc.), carbon, cement, iron and steel slag, fly ash, abrasives, silica, inorganic substances, food, etc.

*The values are representative examples, and the specifications are subject to change without notice.

Continuous dry bead mill for research & development DRYSTAR®SDA1

Only table-sized model in industry!

For R&D purposes

Mechanochemical is possibe !

Feature

Smallest size in industry

- Achievement of submicron range with dry grinding
- Maximum particle size of 10 µm without need for classifier
- The 100 times capability of grinding compared to the ball mills
- Testing with minimum sample amount of 0.5 L
- Scaling up to production size Smallest amount in industry

Grinding data from DRYSTAR® SDA1



Specifications

Model		DRYSTAR®							
MOO	ei	SDA1	A1 SDA5 SDA12.5 SDA25 SDA50 SDA125 SDA250 S						SDA 500
Grinding cha volume (L)	amber	1.0	3.8	12.2	25	50	125	250	500
Motor (kW)		3.5	5.5	15	22	45	75	132	200
	W(mm)	400	600	850	1100	1300	2000	2300	2600
Dimensions (W×D×H)	D(mm)	600	1300	2000	2500	3200	3500	4500	6000
	H(mm)	500	1400	1700	2800	3300	1100	1400	1700
Weight (kg)		50 550 800 1600 2700 5000 750		7500	12000				
Chamber m	aterial	Ceramics and metals (only metals in case of SDA125 or larger)							
Combability 1.5mm beac	with Is	0	0	0	_				

*The values are representative examples, and the specifications are subject to change without notice.

Comparison data 1 Differences between dry bead mill DRYSTAR® and various grinding machines

Production efficiency comparison between dry bead mill DRYSTAR[®] and dry ball mills

Model	DRYSTAR®	Vibrating ball mill	Rotating ball mill				
Grinding performance	80	20	1				
Particle size distribution Sharp		Slightly broad	Broad				
Achieved particle size	1 to several μ m	Several μ m	Several μ m				
Ball size	¢1.5 to 8 mm	ϕ 10 to 20 mm, or Rods	¢20 to 50 mm				
Scaling up	Easy	Difficult	Somewhat difficult				
Noise 75 to 85 dB (A)		85 to 100 dB (A)	85 to 100 dB (A)				
Vibration	Same as general machinery	Pollution problems at low frequencies	Somewhat large				
Installation area	Small	Somewhat small	Large				
Temperature control	Easy	Easy	Difficult				
Product collection	Easy	Easy	Difficult				
Wear Agitator		Chamber	Chamber				
Maintenance	Easy	Difficult	Major undertaking				
Grinding method	Continuous pass	Continuous pass	Batch method				

Performance comparison between **DRYSTAR**[®] and ball mills

Example of facility comparison between **DRYSTAR**[®] and ball mill

In cases in which machines are geared for production, it is extremely difficult to manufacture the same product as the testing sample by using ball mills. In addition, even if it is viable to manufacture a product that is equivalent to the testing sample, compared to dry bead mill **DRYSTAR**[•], the facility costs amount to roughly twice as much, three times more machines are required, the installation area expands by roughly seven times, and the number of beads needed increases by roughly 60-fold. Hence, the use of ball mills is inefficient and does not seem to be realistic.



Comparison between dry bead mill and jet mill

Compared item	Grinding media	Grinding principle	Grinding configuration	Grinding force	Particle-size control	Energy costs	Additional equipment
DRYSTAR®	Beads (¢1.5 to 8 mm)	Shear force and impact force of beads	Surface grinding	Strong	Easy Bead diameter, rotating tip speed, and processing flow rate	One-tenth or less relative to jet mill	Small quantity needed
Jet mill	Air (Humidity control)	Impact of product itself	Bulk grinding	Weak	Difficult Classifier and air pressure adjustment	Extremely large	Large quantity needed

Comparison data 2 Difference between dry bead mill and wet bead mill

Dry bead mills can minimize contamination from beads compared to wet grinding. Therefore, dry grinding is effective as a pre-grinder for materials that require fine wet-process grinding to a submicron level or nano-scale level.

	Dry bead mill <i>(DRYSTAR®)</i>	Wet bead mill
Bead size	ϕ 1.5 to 8 mm	ϕ 0.015 to 2 mm
Shaft sealing	Easy (Oil seal)	Precise (Mechanical seal)
Material wear	Low (one-tenth of wet grinding)	High
Re-agglomeration	Strong	Weak
Particle compounding	Good	Possible
Mechanochemical effect	Large	Extremely small



Particle technology for co-creation of new possibilities

Ashizawa Ashizawa Finetech Ltd.

Headquarters, factory, and laboratory
1

Osaka Branch and laboratory
4

1-4-2 Akanehama, Narashino-shi, Chiba 275-8572 JAPAN TEL +81-47-453-8111 FAX +81-47-453-8378 4-15-13 Katayamacho, Suita-shi, Osaka 564-0082 JAPAN TEL +81-6-6389-7700 FAX +81-6-6389-7710



https://www.ashizawa.com/english/ E-mail sal@ashizawa.com